

How to Excel at One Hard Thing: Grit, Passion, & Peak Performance The Rev. Dr. J. Carl Gregg 1 January 2017 frederickuu.org

Anders Ericsson is a professor of psychology at Florida State University, who has **spent decades studying how people become experts in various fields**. He has published a fascinating book summarizing his findings titled **Peak** — referring to the process of reaching *peak* performance. Perhaps his most important insight is that the more he investigated people who supposedly had natural talent, the more convinced he became that **intense**, **extended**, **deliberate practice is** *always* **required to develop extraordinary**, **peak performance** (211).

For example, let's take a closer look at the legend of Mozart. In 1763, Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart was only seven years old, but he was already wowing audiences in his hometown of Salzburg, Austria with his abilities on both the violin and various keyboard instruments. The young Mozart could also — without looking or even from another room — identify the precise note being played on any musical instrument. As if that wasn't enough, he could also "identify the notes produced by anything that was sufficiently musical — the chime of a clock, the toll of a bell, the ah-choo of a sneeze." Since even most adult, professional musicians do not have "perfect pitch," this ability further contributed to the legend of the young Mozart as a prodigy born with preternatural gifts (xii).

We know today that **approximately one in ten thousand people have "perfect pitch."** And until quite recently, it was thought that perfect pitch was an innate gift that a lucky few are born with. But then scientists began to notice intriguing patterns: you are much more likely to have perfect pitch if you either begin musical training when you are between three and five years

old or if you grow up speaking a tonal language "in which the meaning of words is dependent on their pitch" — for example, Mandarin or Vietnamese (viii).

And here's where it gets even more interesting. In 2014, a psychologist conducted an experiment of twenty-four children between the ages of two and six to see if it was possible to acquire perfect pitch. The children "were given four or five short training sessions per day, each lasting just a few minutes." The sessions involved learning to identify various piano chords by their sound alone. Keep in mind that **typically only one in every ten thousand people develop perfect pitch, but after 18 months or less, "every one of the children in the study had developed perfect pitch"** (xiv). Current research shows that although it is possible for some adults to cultivate perfect pitch with a lot of effort (202), it is much easier for children younger than age six (xvii).

More generally, studies indicate that:

there are certain abilities that can only be developed, or that are more easily developed, before the age of six or twelve or eighteen. Still, both the brain and the body retain a great deal of adaptability throughout adulthood, and this adaptability makes it possible for adults, even older adults, to develop a wide variety of new capabilities with the right training. (xvii)

Science is showing us that the brain has a lot more plasticity throughout our lives than previously thought.

Diving deeper into the Mozart legend, in our globalized Internet age, we have access to something eighteenth-century Europeans did not. Childhood virtuosos like Mozart have expanded our awareness of what is possible, and we have developed increasingly sophisticated training programs as a result. In particular, since the mid-twentieth century, the Suzuki method has been regularly producing five- and six-year olds with remarkable musical abilities. And you can Google "YouTube videos of four-year-olds playing the violin and the piano with amazing facility" (212).

Mozart did not have the Suzuki method, but he did have a father who was an unfulfilled professional musician and who sought to live out his unfulfilled dreams through his children. Starting *before age four*, Mozart was being trained in music appreciation, how to play multiple

instruments, and eventually how to compose music for multiple instruments. Music scholars have also shown that:

The claims of Mozart composing at six and eight years old are almost certainly overstated.... The early compositions Wolfgang supposedly wrote are actually in his father Leopold's handwriting.... The piano concertos that Wolfgang [allegedly] "composed" at eleven...were all based on relatively unknown sonatas written by others.... The first serious compositions that we can attribute to Wolfgang Mozart with certainty were written when he was fifteen or sixteen — after more than a decade of serious practice under his father's tutelage. (213-214)

Ericsson has found a similar dynamic with every child prodigy he has researched: there is always intense, deliberate practice in the background of children. They make advanced techniques look easy and appear to be naturally talented when we *only see the performance* and don't see all the practice that made that performance possible (214).

Or to use an example from the world of sports, the Canadian hockey star Mario Lemieux was the youngest of three brothers and was taught to skate "almost from the time he could walk" (214-215). Indeed, there are many professional athletes who in moments of honesty will confess that they resent the perception that they have a "natural talent" because they know the thousands of hours they have spent honing their skills (xviii). Even studies of savants have shown that their extraordinary abilities — such as rapid calendar calculations — are "primarily acquired skills" from intensely focused practice over time. Those same savant-like skills have been replicated by training people in mental calculation methods for a relatively short amount of time (220-222).

Ericsson encapsulates the essential process for reaching peak performance as the "three Fs: Focus. Feedback. Fix it" (158). For example, I'm an amateur runner, and I often podcast or listen to music while running. According to Ericsson, if I wanted to reach peak performance, I would need to stop podcasting and instead *focus* the whole time on my stride, technique, and pace. I would need *feedback* from a running coach — and I would need to continuously *fix* my running accordingly (158). For better or worse, I'm content being an amateur runner. And it is

important to be honest that due to finite time, it is not possible to become "the best" in every area of our lives simultaneously. A more realistic approach is to ask yourself if you might be inclined to become intentionally better in one or two particular areas in this season of your life.

The research from Ericsson and other scientists have shown that focused, deliberate practice — adjusted based on feedback from experts — can produce extraordinary results in a targeted area. But a lot of one's success depends on learning the latest and most effective training techniques. Consider that the world record marathon time in 1908 — the fastest any human being had ever run 26.2 miles — would barely quality you to enter today's Boston Marathon in the eighteen- to -thirty-four-year-old male category. The reason is that training techniques keep improving. Likewise, consider the increasingly complex gymnastic moves at the Olympics (moves that were once thought to be impossible), increasingly precise technical skills on musical instruments (resulting in performances that far exceed what previously were considered to be "definitive interpretations"), or increasingly long feats of memorization (such as "Rajveer Meena of India, who memorized the first 70,000 digits of pi — an accumulation that took him 24 hours and 4 minutes to recite") (6-7).

Such fantastic levels of memorization are accomplished through sophisticated mnemonic devices that are widely accessible, depending on whether you are willing to put in the time and effort to learn them (101-102). The Guinness Book of World Records is filled with similarly amazing accomplishments. And it turns out that what you need to compete at that level is *less* natural ability and *more* a monomaniacal focus for an extended period of time.

On this whole topic of expertise, I should be sure to mention that back in 2008, Malcolm Galdwell's book <u>Outliers</u> popularized a notion of the "ten-thousand-hour rule": that you need to practice a skill approximately ten thousand hours to become an expert (109). It turns out it's a little more complicated than that. Most importantly, Ericsson distinguishes between *naïve* practice and *deliberate* practice. **Naïve practice** is what I described earlier about my running hobby: **unfocused, no feedback, and no fixing.** I could log 10,000 hours at my current level of running of an hour a few times per week. A few decades later — which is how long it would take me to reach 10,000 hours — instead of becoming a world-class runner, I would likely be either close to the same level — or I may have *declined* in speed and performance due to aging (14).

To master a skill requires "well-defined, specific goals," "focus," "feedback," and "getting out of one's comfort zone" (15-17). Another major reason that you need to put in ten thousand or more hours of practice to become one of the world's best violinists or chess players or golfers is that the people you are being compared to or competing with have themselves put in ten thousand or more hours of practice. There is no point at which performance maxes out and additional practice does not lead to further improvement. (113)

And even if it's too late for you to become the world's absolute best at something, we have many examples of people in retirement excelling in fields that require highly refined skill (like karate, golf, or violin) without having ever done any of those activities previously (145-147, 178-179). It all depends on your goals and how you want to spend your time.

I should also add that for a confluence of reasons not all of us have the passion to pursue expertise in every possible area. I'm a decent pianist, guitarist, and triathlete, but I do not currently have the time and interest to pursue those skills at anywhere close to the time and energy I have invested and continue to invest in being a Unitarian Universalist minister. I enjoy music and athletics, but not at the same level as my interest and commitment to religion and spirituality.

Along the lines of cultivating expertise, Angela Duckworth, author of the book <u>Grit</u>, has a practice in her family called the "Hard Thing Rule." Everyone — not only her children, but also she and her husband — have to maintain a commitment to **one hard thing that requires "daily deliberate practice."** The corollary is that, "You can quit. But you can't quit until the season is over, the tuition payment is up, or some other "natural" stopping point has arrived." (Starting in high school, her children have to commit to their hard thing for at least two years.) The point is to cultivate *perseverance* in pursing goals — what Duckworth calls "grit." Duckworth convincingly makes the case in her book that "grit" is almost always much more important than talent in long-term success.

If you are curious, Duckworth's "hard thing" is psychological research (professionally) and yoga (personally). Her husband's "hard thing" is "real estate development" (professionally) and running (personally). For one of her daughters, their hard thing is ballet — for the other,

piano (241-242). For each of them, pursing a "hard thing" means *not* naïve practice that merely accumulates hours at a plateaued level, but a daily, deliberate practice of "focus, feedback, and fix it."

Starting last year, my current "hard thing" is recommitting to a meditation practice. I was at a plateau in my meditation practice for many years. Then this summer, I went on an eight-day meditation retreat, I am meeting monthly with a meditation teacher for feedback, and I am meditating in a more focused way with new techniques I had not tried previously. At the same time that I am committing more fully to that "one hard thing," there are many other hard things that I would like to do, but don't currently have the bandwidth for: I'm not training for a triathlon. I'm not currently working on publishing my dissertation. But I am committed to the "hard thing" of getting increasingly skilled at being a UU minister (professionally) and at my meditation practice (personally).

What are the parallels in your life? Here on the first day of a new year, what are you feeling called to focus on with a more deliberate practice — or to let go of as a distraction in the past year? (An underappreciated part of achieving goals is not only taking on something new, but letting go of one or more other things to give yourself time and energy for what is most important to you.) As part of your discernment, notice if one of the following areas particularly resonates with you in this season of your life.

- <u>Physical Wellness</u>: When or how do you feel physically alive, your body skillfully engaged? What would you like to do to feel this way more often?
- <u>Emotional Wellness</u>: When or how do you feel emotionally engaged? Let yourself dream about something that would add more joy to your life.
- <u>Intellectual Wellness</u>: When or how does your mind feel energized, buzzing with electric vitality?
- <u>Financial Wellness</u>: What would help you feel more comfortable with your finances?
- <u>Social Wellness</u>: When or how do you feel connected to those you love and value?
- <u>Vocational Wellness</u>: When or how do you feel fulfilled and optimistic in your

work?

• <u>Spiritual Wellness</u>: What individual or communal practice makes you feel more connected to your deepest self or to what we UUs call the Interdependent Web of All Existence."

As you continue to reflect, I invite you to consider two ritual responses in a UU tradition called Fire Communion. Looking back on 2016, is there something — a person, place, or habit — that has been a hindrance to you? Is there something that you feel called to let go of or say "no" to in the new year? In a few moments, once the music starts playing, I invite you to come forward silently and write a word on a slip of paper that is symbolic of what you feel called to let go of from 2016. Then I invite you to light that slip of paper on fire. And while burning a slip of paper does not necessarily mean that the process of "letting go" is complete, I invite you to see this ritual as an important step in setting an intention to continue the process of letting go of a part of your life that has been life-negating for you. You are also invited to light a votive candle to set an intention for something you want to do, affirm, or say "yes" to in 2017.